United States Courts Southern District of Texas FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

NOV 2 5 2005

Michael N. Milby, Clark of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
V.	§ §	CRIMINAL NO. H-05-918M
RUBEN MAURICIO SILACHE GARCIA	§ 8	
ROBERT METORICIO SIBILICIES GIRICEIT	3	

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), the Government moved for detention in this case. Defendant Garcia waived his right to a detention hearing. That waiver is entered in the record as Dkt.No.___. I conclude that the following facts are established by a preponderance of the evidence and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

			he evidence and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.			
			Findings of Fact			
[]	A. Fin	indings of Fact [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e), § 3142(f)(1)].				
	[](1)	The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is				
		[]	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
		[]	an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.			
		[]	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. () § 801 et seq. () § 951 et seq. () § 955(a).			
		[]	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) (A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.			
	[](2)		e offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release iding trial for a federal, state or local offense.			
	[](3)	•	eriod of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release he defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.			
	r 1 (4)	Ein.	dings Nos 1 2 and 2 astablish a rebuttable presumation that as condition on			

[] (4) Findings Nos. 1, 2, and 3 establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

[]	В.	Findings of Fact [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)]				
	[](1)	There is pro	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense			
		[]	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. () § 801 et seq. () § 951 et seq. () § 955(a).			
		[]	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
	[](2)	condition o	lant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no r combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the s required and the safety of the community.			
[X]	C.	Findings of	Fract [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)]			
	[X] (1)	Defendant after deport	Garcia is a non-U.S. citizen accused of illegal reentry to the United States ation.			
	[X] (2)	There is a	serious risk that the defendant will flee.			
	[] (3))				
	[] (4)		erious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) njure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror, or attempt to do so).			
[]	D.	Findings of	Fract [18 U.S.C. § 3142(c)]			
	[] (1)) As a condit	ion of release of the defendant, bond was set as follows:			
	[] (2))				
	[X] (3)		here is no condition or combination of conditions set forth in 18 U.S.C. which will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
	[] (4))				
	[] (5)		here is no condition or combination of conditions set forth in 18 U.S.C. which will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the			

Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the accusations in the criminal complaint and the pretrial services report establish by a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

I conclude that the following factors specified in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) are present and are to be taken into account:

- 1. Defendant Garcia is a Honduran national in this country illegally. He reported to pretrial services that he has lived in Indianapolis, Indiana for the last four years where he worked as a concrete finisher. He has been in a common law marriage with Yadira Sandres for sixteen years and has two teenage children with her. His wife, children, mother, and three siblings reside in Honduras.
- 2. Defendant Garcia is presently accused in a criminal complaint of illegal reentry following deportation in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1326.
- 3. Defendant Garcia was deported in 1996 after being convicted of a drug offense. He was deported again in 2001 for illegal reentry into the country. There is an outstanding warrant for his arrest in Oregon for failing to appear in court on a 1996 drug offense.
- 4. Defendant Garcia has acknowledged using aliases.
- 5. The Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement has issued a detainer against defendant Garcia.
- 6. There is no condition or combination of conditions of release which would assure the appearance of the defendant in court. Detention is ordered.

Directions Regarding Detention

It is therefore ORDERED that the defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with all court proceedings.

Signed at Houston, Texas, this 25 day of November, 2005.

SŤEPHEN WM. SMITH

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE